

Board of Health
Meeting Minutes
October 13, 2011

<p>6:35p.m. Called to Order</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Business</p> <p>Present: Donna Clark, David Favreau, Gary Menin and Stanley Selkow Visitors: Vinny Vignaly, Dick Maki</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minutes for 9/8/2011 approved. Motion by Stanley Selkow with a second by Donna Clark. 2. 31 Birch was discussed due to the mounded system; since no variance requested it didn't need to go to the Board. 3. 28 Maple not started yet. Discussion around future situation. What can the BOH do to accommodate all the needs including more than 1 parking space. It was decided that the BOH has no jurisdiction over such a situation. This was a very small lot and presented many problems. 4. Bat on Meeting House Hill Road was tested as the cat brought it in and there are small children in the house.....the bat was negative for rabies. 5. 17 Hall Ave. James Greve grievance...tabled to the end of the meeting. 6. 50 Leominster Road has complied as they are doing more impermeable than the BOH has requested....email from Mark Brodeur. 7. 157 Redstone Hill...re-inspect fee still owing. Letter will be sent.
<p>7:15p.m. Regionalization with Rick Swanson</p>	<p>The Town has a year to sign into the inter-municipal agreement; once signed in they still have a year to opt out before the \$300 needs to be paid....The BOH will do some research and vote on it at the November 10 meeting. Donna Clark will look into it by attending the Regionalization Meetings. Rick Swanson will go forward and get time with the Board of Selectmen to present the Regionalization. Need to sign up by November 18, 2011</p>
<p>7:30p.m. 20 Lake Shore Drive</p>	<p>Mark Farrell, Greenhill Engineering represented Neil McLean who was also present. Proposing an ADS Geo Flow system (similar to a Presby).</p> <p>Variances.....Sterling Regulation...the proposed SAS doesn't meet minimum size requirement of 1000 sqft; proposed 578 sqft.</p> <p>Variance to 310 CMR 15.211(1) . Proposed SAS doesn't meet setback from well of 100 ft; proposed 60 ft.</p> <p>New Owner Neil McLean has elaborate filtration system; well tested every year.</p> <p>Lot is difficult due to neighbors' systems. New owner contacted neighbors about their systems and some of them don't know where they are; files not with BOH office due to age, flood, never received.... New Owner will do a deed restriction if necessary.</p> <p>David Favreau will try contacting neighbors to get more information if possible.</p> <p>Final Hearing November 10, 2011 at 7:30pm. DEP letter of approval of the ADS Geo Flow System needs to be presented to the Board at that time.</p>
<p>7:45p.m. 38 Mellon Hollow</p>	<p>Final Hearing...Gary Shepard, Ross Associates representing the home owner who was also in attendance....Margaret Fitch Cutler</p> <p>Reg IV(a) 1,000sf leach bed with 12" of stone required; Proposed 451 sf leach bed with 6" of stone (complies with Title 5)</p> <p>Reg IV(g) For leaching facilities in the aquifer and water resources protection district, the minimum separation shall not be less than 6 ft as required in Section 4.6(j) of the aquifer and water resources protection by-law. Proposed is an offset of 4 ft (in compliance with Title 5)</p> <p>Came back with a leach field of 602</p> <p>Gary Menin motion to approve; Donna Clark seconded; all approved.</p>

8:00p.m. 35 Legate Hill Road	Final Hearing....Gary Shepard, Ross Associates representing the home owner 15.405(1) (h) Required 4 ft separation between the bottom of the soil absorption system and the high groundwater elevation; proposing 3 ft. Presby System Gary Menin made a motion to approve; Donna Clark seconded; all approved.
8:15p.m General Discussion	17 Hall Street Grievance (tabled at the beginning of the meeting to the end)....Stan Selkow read the letter from James L. Greve (owner of the property). It is a grievance against Cove Construction, Sterling, MA, a licensed installer in Sterling. Mr. Cove will be invited to a future meeting for continued discussion. Brian Favreau wanted to know why the new Sterling Title 5 Inspection process was initiated. The Board gave him a brief explanation. However, Brian would like to continue it at the meeting on 11/10/2011 (he will send a formal request). It would cover Window of Time for Inspection and the Inspection Process itself (what is inspected). He would like the checklist for both processes put on the web site
9:00p.m.	Gary Menin motion to adjourn; seconded Stan Selkow; all approved.